

Tadeusz Zembrzuski, LL.D.,
*Full Professor,
Faculty of Law and Administration, University of Warsaw
Republic of Poland*

ОРИГИНАЛНИ НАУЧНИ РАД
DOI: 10.5937/zrpfn1-45220

UDK: 336.542/.543:347.91./.95](438)

UDK: 366.5(4-672EU:438)

Рад примљен: 27.06.2023.

Рад прихваћен: 28.07.2023.

EVOLVEMENT OF CONSUMER RIGHTS PROTECTION IN POLISH JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS: NEW SEPARATE PROCEEDINGS

Abstract: In recognition of the concept of consumer rights to trial, individual and collective consumer rights should be extended across Europe as well as nationally. The need to affirm the procedural position of the so-called vulnerable parties in civil law proceedings is a complex and multifaceted matter. The Polish legislator having devised new separate proceedings in consumer cases is a manifestation of the equalising justice principle having been realised. Regardless, one may well doubt whether the current procedural form comprises a sufficient volume of significant deviations from ordinary proceedings to justify such nature to be conferred upon it. Related deliberations form part of a broader discussion regarding the structure, form, and suitability of Polish procedural law.

Keywords: consumer, protection of consumer rights, consumer access to justice, civil law, civil proceedings, special proceedings.

1. Consumer-oriented law – the Polish and EU perspective

Consumer law serves the purpose of establishing fair and decent relations between consumers and businesses relations. The Polish definition of the consumer has evolved; contemporarily, the term is defined as a private individual (natural person) entering into a transaction with a business entity, said transaction not directly associated with his/her economic or professional activity (Rejdak, 2006: 134). Dating back to the 1960s, individual and collective protection of consumer rights plays a hugely important role across Europe and in Poland today. We are witness to a permanent, considerably expansive and continually developing, component of European law (Łętowska, 2004: 8).

* zembrzuski@wpia.uw.edu.pl; www.zembrzuski.eu

The value of cases involving consumers ties in with their commonwealth aspect; as part of the domestic legal order, European Union law should be accounted for in Poland in civil law proceedings as consumer-oriented proceedings constitute EU law, or are sourced therein. Legal provisions prevalent in individual EU member states ought to be interpreted and recognised within the framework of concepts developed on the European level, in recognition of the so-called consumer access to justice and right to fair trial, principles of EU law equivalence and effectiveness, and the rule of procedural autonomy of member states (Pecyna, 2022: 1028). Consequently, from the vantage point of a Polish lawyer, a consumer's right to a fair trial merits analysis both in the European and domestic perspective (Dybka, 2018: 11). Consumer protection has always been interdisciplinary, under private and public law alike. Yet, dynamic consumer law development over the years has not been balanced. While the level of protection guaranteed across different states has always been diverse (Wróbel, 2005: 42), civil substantive law remains its unquestioned pivot.

Civil law discourse in Poland has been usually interpreted against the backdrop of substantive law instruments of consumer protection, while remaining in the background, as it were (Gajda-Roszczynialska, 2012: 165). Procedural law has been contesting the need for and the purposefulness of generating supplementary legal measures for years (Zembrzuski, 2021: 9). As a result, in terms of consumer rights protection, the Polish Civil Procedure Code (CPC)¹ offers few solutions in terms of resolving such matters differently to other civil law cases. It seems that the need to fortify the consumer's procedural position and protecting him/her against the "*imperfections of the free market*" has not been observed or realised often enough (Samson, 2013: 164).

2. Separate proceedings as a manifestation of improved consumer protection

Gradual European integration notwithstanding, efforts to define and delineate the division of competencies between community and domestic procedural law have triggered the occasional controversy or doubt. It is commendable that the Polish legislator has recently rekindled the related discussion by taking legislative action with intent to make it easier for consumers to pursue claims in court. It has been brought to the lawmakers' attention that, in practice, many individuals harmed by business entities' actions renounce or refrain from seeking redress in judicial proceedings, for a variety of reasons. This in turn has allowed a manifest argument to be put forward, namely that access to justice

¹ Code of Civil Procedure Law of 17 November 1964 (uniform text: *Journal of Laws* 2021, item 1805, as amended).

and right to a fair trial remain material components of a system comprising broadly defined consumer protection measures.

Protecting the so-called vulnerable party in civil law proceedings is a multifaceted issue (Zembrzuski, 2016: 843). In procedural law, this notion most frequently ties in with individuals appearing before a court of law self-sufficiently (*pro se*), renouncing services offered by professional legal assistance providers (Zembrzuski, 2011: 777). Most frequently, such persons are unfamiliar with the letter of law, procedural law in particular, in which case we are dealing with the so-called barrier of procedural norm unfamiliarity (Osowy, 2003: 113). The consumer is a vulnerable party as well. In view of the above, the notion of developing procedural solutions to resolve consumer disputes and fortify the consumer's procedural position is both essential and noteworthy. Supporting the vulnerable party in civil law proceedings should be based on respect for principles of equality of parties, disposition, contradictoriness, and other inherent values of contemporary civil law proceedings.

While the Polish legislator has taken action to "*respond to consumer community expectations with regard to expanding the scope of their rights*"², the way the assumption has been handled gives rise to certain doubt. The Law of March 9th 2023 to amend the Code of Civil Procedure Law and selected other laws³ introduces new⁴ separate proceedings rather than isolated procedural solutions.

The fact-finding judicial proceedings model ought to be undeviating: examining current cases according to general rules ought to be the rule; separate proceedings should be an exception. Claiming that the number of separate proceedings in Poland is exceedingly high is a cliché.⁵ The tendency of multiplying separate proceedings (Ereciński, 2009: 3; Grzegorczyk, 2011: 72) is disquieting: making the course of fact-finding proceedings more complex and distorting the ordinary to separate proceedings ratio⁶, it also gives rise to a risk of system

2 See: Justification of the draft Government bill of 9th March 2023 to amend the Code of Civil Procedure Law and selected other laws (item 62, p. 41), hereinafter referred to as "*Justification*" (Rządowy projekt ustawy o zmianie ustawy - Kodeks postępowania cywilnego oraz niektórych innych ustaw, Druk nr. 2650, Sejm, 27.9.2022; <https://www.sejm.gov.pl/Sejm9.nsf/druk.xsp?nr=2650>)

3 See: <https://www.sejm.gov.pl/Sejm9.nsf/PrzebiegProc.xsp?id=7E8A1FF49174B3D2C12588CC005A5F02>

4 In recent years, separate proceedings in commercial law cases have been brought back in Poland, and separate proceedings in intellectual property cases have been introduced.

5 Depending on the calculation formula, over a dozen separate proceedings are identifiable in Poland.

6 Over 3 million cases are examined in Poland in separate writ proceedings alone, allowing civil law cases to be tried as orders for payment, i.e. with the contradictory form bypassed.

inconsistencies developing and growing (Ereciński, 2010: 11; Zembrzuski, 2022: 247). The legislator has observed that risk to a certain extent only, disclaiming that provisions concerning other separate proceedings shall be applicable only insofar as they do not contradict provisions of the newly drafted Code section. The prevalence of legal provisions regulating proceedings involving consumers has thus been highlighted.

3. Procedural solutions in cases involving consumers

The intent behind recognising cases involving consumers as particularly significant to society is to introduce special-purposes procedural solutions designed to secure due and proper protection to aforesaid consumers. Notwithstanding the above, newly introduced proceedings involving consumers shall only encompass three expansive regulations (Articles 458¹⁴-458¹⁶ of the Civil Procedure Code). One may well doubt whether the current form of separate proceedings (as introduced) comprises a sufficient volume of significant deviations from ordinary proceedings which may justify their designation as separate proceedings. Said provisions could have just as well been made part of general procedural regulations.

The essence of any consumer dispute involves the other party thereto, equipped with a greater capacity (financial capacity included) to safeguard its own rights. Newly introduced proceedings defines cases objectively rather than subjectively. Pursuant to Article 458¹⁴ §1 of the Civil Procedure Code, respective provisions shall apply in cases of consumer claims against business entities and in cases of business entity claims against consumers, provided that the given consumer is party to legal proceedings. Cessation of business activities (Zembrzuski, 2009: 22) shall not affect the applicability of the aforesaid regulations (Article 458¹⁴ §3 of the Civil Procedure Code). The moment of entering into the disputed contract shall determine the consumer's status and the consumer nature of said contract.

Newly introduced procedural mechanisms include solutions improving the *status quo* as well as new procedural structures. The former include regulations concerning local jurisdiction, the attribute of jurisdictional competence extending to the need for disputes to be resolved by courts of law competent in terms of substantive, locality-related and functional mechanisms duly stipulated in procedural law (Zembrzuski, 2020: 1035). In principle, the process of assigning a case to a specific court of law rests on correct procedural qualification in terms of abstract statutory rules, and in recognition of the *actor sequitur forum rei* principle. Granting specific autonomy to parties within the aforesaid scope is occasionally used to the disadvantage of vulnerable or third parties.

Referring legal action to a particular court of law convenient to a specific party, with intent to have the said action heard by the same court, may become a vital litigation strategy component (Zembrzuski, 2021: 5). In terms of consumer judicial access, jurisdiction remains essential, frequently a determinant of the consumer's capacity to exercise his/her rights. To date, the Polish legislator has been safeguarding the consumer against entering into unfavourable jurisdiction agreements (Weitz, 2016: 796) and against court competence-related modifications.⁷ Legislative amendments⁸ and the pro-consumer interpretation of legal provisions have vastly expanded legal protection available to said entities (Wołodkiewicz, Zembrzuski, 2021: 607). Furthermore, pursuant to the amended Law of March 9th 2023, consumer rights have been expanded to include the option of taking action also before a court of law competent for the consumer's place of residence.⁹ Newly introduced to the Civil Procedure Code, the solution has been designed to facilitate the process of exercising the consumers' right to fair trial, while reducing the cost of proceedings potentially arising from cases being examined by courts located far away from the consumer's place of residence¹⁰. It may well be assumed that the aforesaid solution will become permanent, a part of the rich evolution of court locality-related Polish legislation (Markiewicz, 2009: 248). Regardless of the above, courts of law should be expected to become aware of related duties, including *ex officio* case examination and contestation of judicial competence (Zembrzuski, 2018: 223).

The obligation requiring businesses to produce all pleadings, i.e. allegations and evidence (Article 458¹⁵ §1 of the Civil Procedure Code),¹¹ in action brought against consumers is new in nature. Should the business be the acting defendant, the aforesaid obligation shall be exercised in response to the suit.¹² Any allegations and/or evidence produced at a later stage shall be disregarded, unless

7 Pursuant to Article 27 of the Civil Procedure Code, the plaintiff shall bring action before the court of first instance in the district of the defendant's place of residence or place of business.

8 Pursuant to Article 31 §2 of the Civil Procedure Code, regulations regarding alternate jurisdiction shall not be applicable in cases against consumers.

9 Not applicable in cases of court jurisdiction exclusivity (Article 38 *et seq.* of the Civil Procedure Code).

10 The justification references solutions contained in Regulation (EU) No. 1215/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of December 12th 2012 on jurisdiction and recognition and enforcement of judgements in civil and commercial matters (Article 18 clause 1).

11 Any business person not represented by a professional proxy (barrister or legal advisor) shall be duly informed of the obligation imposed upon him/her, and of the consequences of non-compliance.

12 Within a term set out by the chairperson, no shorter than one week, with an option of another term being set out, circumstances of the case pending (Article 458¹⁵ §3 of the Civil Procedure Code).

the business makes it plausible that the same could not have been produced, or that the need to do so arose at a later stage. Should the said entity succeed in delivering under the obligation as described, any further allegations and/or corroborating evidence shall be produced no later than within a term of two weeks as of the day of reference becoming feasible or required. The preclusion has been introduced with a view to secure more effective collection of procedural evidence. In aforesaid terms, the first stage of proceedings shall not be considered "wasted" (Zembrzuski, 2021: 64).

While the aforesaid solution improves procedural expediency, making it easier for consumers to take part in judicial proceedings, it makes the Polish system of procedural substance concentration in civil law proceedings (Gajda-Roszczynińska, 2020: 68; Zembrzuski, 2021: 47), intricate as it is and now based on the preclusion model, even more complex (Fierich, 1928: 1; Wengerek, 1958: 42; Weitz, 2009: 75; Weitz, 2011: 16). Restrictions resembling mechanisms applied in commercial proceedings have been introduced (Szczurowski, 2021: 71; Kulski, 2019: 1166); notwithstanding the above, restrictive solutions shall only apply to one of the parties to proceedings (the business entity), with regard to whom the moment of preclusion shall tie in with that of submitting the first pleading. Conversely, general rules and mechanisms shall apply to the consumer; in consequence, depending on the course of proceedings, the moment of preclusion in the consumer's case can tie in with the moment of drafting or approving the trial schedule, submitting a pre-trial document, or closing the trial. The new procedural mechanism will to a certain extent seemingly balance out the consumer's unequal playing field, while not resulting in unjustified improvements to his/her position.

Last but not least, noteworthy solutions include measures tying in with cost of proceedings, designed to encourage business entities to participate in conciliatory consumer dispute resolution attempts prior to any action being brought before a court of law. The legislator is critical of any circumstances of a business entity abandoning attempts at conciliatory dispute resolution prior to filing a suit, evading participation in such conciliatory efforts, and/or participating therein in bad faith. All of the above may contribute to pointless legal action, or have detrimental effect on the subject of the dispute being identified before a court of law. In such cases, notwithstanding the outcome of the case,¹³ the court may order the business entity to cover the cost of legal proceedings in full or in part. In justified cases, the court shall be authorised to go as far as to increase the cost of legal proceedings, albeit doubling the cost shall be considered the cap

13 As a general rule, the unsuccessful party shall be obliged to reimburse the other party on demand for any costs required to seek due rights and targeted defence (Article 98 §1 of the Civil Procedure Code).

limit (Jakubecki, 2019: 187; Zembrzuski, Nadużycie 2022: 170). The aforesaid solution has been designed to “*promote good practices in business-consumer (B2C) relationships, and eliminate circumstances of untrustworthy businesses forcing consumers to bring legal action before a court of law in seeking to exercise their due rights*”¹⁴. According to the proponents, the solution should curb negative and dishonest consumer-affecting market behaviours. Yet, the claim that the afore-described mechanism shall safeguard effective consumer rights protection seems somewhat doubtful. The structure seems to be an attempt at expanding procedural law to include an institution resembling *culpa in contrahendo*, a substantive law implement¹⁵. The regulation itself as well as its legal nature remain obscure; only once applied in practice can they actually be verified in terms of usefulness and purpose.

4. Closing Remarks

While consumer rights protection remains the domain of civil law, civil procedure should, in all actuality, comprise the recognised fundamental set of instruments in substantive law protection. In Poland, the assumption of seeking protection of contractual rights and other interests in cases involving consumers pursuant to rules identical to those applying to claim seeking by other entities has been renounced (Rejdak, 2010: 462). Procedural law has been expanded to include auxiliary pro-consumer solutions, the role and significance of the so-called consumer right to trial duly accentuated.

Developing new separate proceedings as an optimum solution triggers doubt. Separate proceedings may become a source of further complications of intra-systemic interconnections typical for civil law proceedings (Cieślak, 2013: 132). While the scope of new separate proceedings is not overtly expansive, it may well be assumed that appeals for the introduction of successive pro-consumer solutions to procedural law or elimination of applicable regulations will be voiced over the upcoming months or years. The question regarding the purposefulness of parallel separate provisions concerning cases involving consumers and labour law cases remains open, for example (May, 2022: 279). That question will form part of a broader discussion concerning the structure, form, and suitability of procedural law in Poland (Zedler, 2006: 309; Weitz, 2020: 28).

Court trial should be effective, ensuring the capacity for a correct ruling to be passed in possibly swift proceedings (Erećński, Weitz, 2005: 17). It should also be fair (Pietrzkowski, 2005: 53), ensuring that the rights of all parties involved

¹⁴ See: Justification, p. 42.

¹⁵ Pursuant to Article 72 §2 of the Code of Civil Procedure Law of April 23rd 1964 (uniform text: *Journal of Laws* 2022, item 1360, as amended.).

are duly safeguarded¹⁶ (Zembrzuski, 2017: 573). The procedural position of parties to proceedings is occasionally considerably diverse for a variety of reasons (Borucka-Arctowa, 1978: 53). Significant factors may include i.a. professional or personal qualifications (including intelligence, life experience, and/or financial standing). Actual economic disproportions most often exist between the consumer and the opposing business entity. The Polish legislator having taken new action in 2003 to devise new separate proceedings is a manifestation of the equalising justice principle having been realised. Introducing statutory disproportion between parties to judicial proceedings was an intentional exercise. Legislative actions are to serve the purpose of "*fortifying the consumer's position*"¹⁷. Time will show just how efficient and effective they are. Legal practice will show whether they shall contribute to boosting a sense of justice in the society, and restore true equilibrium to parties engaging in civil law transactions.

Bibliographical references

- Borucka-Arctowa, M. (1978). Poglądy na zróżnicowanie społeczne w świetle przepisów prawnych i stosowania prawa. In *Poglądy społeczeństwa polskiego na stosowanie prawa*. Wrocław: Zakład Narodowy im. Ossolińskich PAN.
- Cieślak, S. (2013). Powiązania wewnętrzsystemowe w postępowaniu cywilnym. Warszawa: LexisNexis.
- Dybka, W. (2018). Konsumentkie prawo do sądu. Warszawa: Drukarnia Advert.
- Ereciński, T. (2010). O uwarunkowaniach, potrzebie oraz zakresie nowego kodeksu postępowania cywilnego. *Polski Proces Cywilny*. 1. 9-19.
- Ereciński, T. (2009). Postępowania odrębne de lege lata i de lege ferenda (Separate Proceedings de lege lata and de lege ferenda). In Dolecki, H., Flaga-Gieruszyska, K. (ed.), *Ewolucja polskiego postępowania cywilnego wobec przemian politycznych, społecznych i gospodarczych*. Warszawa: C.H. Beck.
- Ereciński, T., Weitz K. (2005). Efektywność ochrony prawnej udzielanej przez sądy w Polsce. *Przegląd Sądowy*. 10. 3-36.
- Fierich, F.X. (1928). *Środki skupienia materiału procesowego według projektu kodeksu procedury cywilnej*. Kraków: Drukarnia Uniwersytetu Jagiellońskiego.
- Gajda-Roszczynialska, K. (2012). Sprawy o ochronę indywidualnych interesów konsumentów w postępowaniu cywilnym. Warszawa: Wolters Kluwer.

16 Depriving a party of the capacity to defend its rights ties in with the realisation of grounds for nullity of proceedings, the establishment of which gives rise to judicial obligation to annul the defective decision..

17 See: Justification, p. 41.

- Gajda-Roszczynialska, K. (2020). System koncentracji materiału procesowego po zmianach wprowadzonych na mocy ustawy z 4.07.2019 r. o zmianie ustawy – Kodeks postępowania cywilnego oraz niektórych innych ustaw w postępowaniu zwyczajnym. *Polski Proces Cywilny*. 1. 9-37.
- Grzegorczyk, P. (2011). Postępowania odrębne w świetle projektowanych zmian Kodeksu postępowania cywilnego. In Markiewicz, K. (ed.), *Reforma postępowania cywilnego w świetle projektów Komisji Kodyfikacyjnej*. Warszawa: C.H. Beck.
- Jakubecki, A. (2019). Sankcje za nadużycie uprawnień procesowych w kodeksie postępowania cywilnego. *Palestra*. 11-12. 181-191.
- Kulski, R. (2019) Odrębności postępowania w sprawach gospodarczych. *Monitor Prawniczy*. 21. 1166-1175.
- Łętowska, E. (2004). Europejskie prawo umów konsumenckich. Warszawa: C.H. Beck.
- Markiewicz, K. (2009). Właściwość sądu w procesie cywilnym wobec przemian politycznych, społecznych i gospodarczych, In Dolecki, H., Flaga-Gieruszyńska, K. (ed.), *Ewolucja polskiego postępowania cywilnego wobec przemian politycznych, społecznych i gospodarczych*. Warszawa: C.H. Beck.
- May, J. (2022). Celowość utrzymania postępowania w sprawach z zakresu prawa pracy jako postępowania odrébnego. *Gdańskie Studia Prawnicze*. 5.
- Osowy, P. (2003). Aktywność informacyjna sądu a ustawowe granice pomocy stronie – rozważania na tle art. 5 k.p.c. *Rejent*. 7-8. 107-126.
- Pecyna, M. (2022). Postępowanie w sprawach konsumenckich In Machnikowska A. (ed.), *System Postępowania Cywilnego. Vol 6. Postępowania odrębne*. Warszawa: C.H. Beck.
- Pietrzkowski, H. (2005). *Prawo do rzetelnego procesu w świetle zmienionej procedury cywilnej*. *Przegląd Sądowy*. 10. 37-54.
- Rejdak, M. (2006). Definicja konsumenta w rozumieniu kodeksu cywilnego (art. 22¹ k.c.). *Rejent*. 1. 118-135.
- Rejdak, M. (2010). Współczesne przemiany w procesie cywilnym w odniesieniu do ochrony interesów konsumentów (indywidualnych, zbiorowych oraz grupowych. In Jakubecki, A., Strzepka, J.A. (ed.), *Jus et remedium. Księga jubileuszowa Profesora Mieczysława Sawczuka*. Warszawa 2010: Wolters Kluwer.
- Samson, M. (2013). Rozwój idei ochrony konsumenta po 1926 r. Konsument w ujęciu prawnym i ekonomicznym. *Studia Ekonomiczne*. 3 (63). 163-174.

Szczurowski, T. (2021). Prekluzja twierdzeń i dowodów w nowym postępowaniu gospodarczym. In Dziurda, M., Zembrzuski, T. (ed.), *Praktyka wobec nowelizacji postępowania cywilnego – konsekwencje zmian*. Warszawa: Wolters Kluwer.

Weitz, K. (2009). Między systemem dyskrecjonalnej władzy sędziego a systemem prekluzji – ewolucja regulacji prawa polskiego. In Dolecki, H., Flaga-Gieruszyska, K. (ed.), *Ewolucja polskiego postępowania cywilnego wobec przemian politycznych, społecznych i gospodarczych*. Warszawa: C.H. Beck.

Weitz, K. (2016). Nowe rozwiązania dotyczące ochrony słabszej strony stosunku prawnego w rozporządzeniu Bruksela Ia. In Boratyńska, M. (ed.), *Ochrona strony słabszej stosunku prawnego. Księga jubileuszowa ofiarowana Profesorowi Adamowi Zielińskiemu*. Warszawa: Wolters Kluwer.

Weitz, K. (2011). System koncentracji materiału procesowego według projektu zmian Kodeksu postępowania cywilnego. In: Markiewicz K. (ed.), *Reforma postępowania cywilnego w świetle projektów Komisji Kodyfikacyjnej*. Warszawa: C.H. Beck.

Weitz, K. (2020). *Współczesne problemy kodyfikacji prawa postępowania cywilnego*. Forum Prawnicze. 3. 28-46.

Wengerek, E. (1958). Koncentracja materiału procesowego w postępowaniu cywilnym, Warszawa: Wydawnictwo Prawnicze.

Wołodkiewicz, B., Zembrzuski, T. (2021). Właściwość umowna i badanie właściwości sądu – aktywność sędziego czy strony? In Bilewska, K., Kocot, W., Krecka-Zając, D. (ed.), *Wykonywanie zobowiązań. Księga Jubileuszowa dedykowana Profesorowi Adamowi Brzozowskiemu*. Warszawa: C.H. Beck.

Wróbel, A. (2005). Autonomia proceduralna państw członkowskich. Zasada efektywności i zasada efektywnej ochrony sądowej w prawie Unii Europejskiej. *Ruch Prawniczy, Ekonomiczny i Socjologiczny*. 1. 35-58.

Zedler, F. (2006). Co dalej z kodeksem postępowania cywilnego. In *Czterdziestolecie Kodeksu postępowania cywilnego. Zjazd Katedr Postępowania Cywilnego w Zakopanem (7-9.10.2005 r.)*. Kraków: Zakamycze.

Zembrzuski, T. (2018). Badanie właściwości miejscowej sądu w sprawach z udziałem konsumentów z perspektywy prawa wspólnotowego. In Barańska, A., Cieślak, S. (ed.), *Ars in vita. Ars in iure. Księga jubileuszowa dedykowana Profesorowi Januszowi Jankowskiemu*. Warszawa: C.H. Beck.

Zembrzuski, T. (2021). Koncentracja materiału procesowego – w poszukiwaniu właściwej drogi. In Dziurda, M., Zembrzuski, T. (ed.), *Praktyka wobec nowelizacji postępowania cywilnego – konsekwencje zmian*. Warszawa: Wolters Kluwer.

- Zembrzuski, T. (2017). Nieważność postępowania w procesie cywilnym. Warszawa: Wolters Kluwer.
- Zembrzuski, T. (2022). Nadużycie prawa procesowego de lege lata. In Kosonoga J. (ed.), *Przeciwdziałanie nadużyciu uprawnień procesowych w postępowaniu sądowym*. Warszawa: Dom Wydawniczy Elipsa.
- Zembrzuski, T. (2016). Pouczanie strony występującej w procesie cywilnym bez zawodowego pełnomocnika co do wnoszenia środków zaskarżenia. In Boratyńska, M. (ed.), *Ochrona strony słabszej stosunku prawnego. Księga jubileuszowa ofiarowana Profesorowi Adamowi Zielińskiemu*, Warszawa: Wolters Kluwer.
- Zembrzuski, T. (2020). Powołanie sędziego do pełnienia urzędu na stanowisku sędziego sądu wyższego szczebla a rozpoznanie sprawy przydzielonej w dotychczasowym miejscu służbowym. *Monitor Prawniczy*. 19. 1035-1039.
- Zembrzuski, T. (2017). Pozbawienie możliwości obrony praw strony w orzecznictwie Sądu Najwyższego. In Tomalak, M. (ed.), *Ius est a iustitia appellatum. Księga Jubileuszowa dedykowana Profesorowi Tadeuszowi Wiśniewskiemu*. Warszawa: Wolters Kluwer.
- Zembrzuski, T. (2011). Przyznanie prawa ubogich w postępowaniu cywilnym. In Gudowski, J., Weitz, K. (ed.), *Aurea Praxis, Aurea Theoria. Księga Pamiątkowa ku czci prof. Tadeusza Ercińskiego*. Vol. I. Warszawa: LexisNexis.
- Zembrzuski, T. (2021). Właściwość sądu w sprawach cywilnych z udziałem konsumenta. *Przegląd Sądowy*. 2. 5-19.
- Zembrzuski, T. (2009). Wpływ zaprzestania prowadzenia działalności gospodarczej na przebieg procesu cywilnego. *Przegląd Prawa Handlowego*. 8. 22-28.
- Zembrzuski, T. (2022). Względny przymus bezwzględny, czyli pełnomocnik procesowy w sprawach własności intelektualnej. In Całka, E., Jakubecki, A., Nazar, M., Niewęgłowski, A., Poździk, R. (ed.), *In varietate concordia. Księga jubileuszowa Profesora Ryszarda Skubiszsa*. Warszawa: C.H. Beck.

Dr. Tadeusz Zembrzuski,

Редовни професор,

Факултет права и администрације, Универзитет у Варшави

Република Пољска

РАЗВОЈ ПРАВНЕ ЗАШТИТЕ ПОТРОШАЧА У ПОЉСКИМ СУДСКИМ ПОСТУПЦИМА: НОВИ ОДВОЈЕНИ ПОСТУПЦИ

Резиме

Сврха закона о потрошачима је да структуира поштене и пристојне односе између потрошача и привредних субјеката. Потрошачки спорови су од значаја за читаву заједницу. С обзиром да је право Европске уније део домаћег правног поретка, оно се мора узети у обзир приликом пресуђивања у грађанскоправним предметима у Пољској. Будући да произилази (између остalog) из обавезе транспозиције права ЕУ, динамичан развој права потрошача у Пољској првенствено је повезан са материјалним грађанским правом, док је дискурс у погледу грађанскоправних поступака увек остао у сенци анализе инструмената материјалног грађанског права који се примењују у заштити потрошача. У погледу заштите права потрошача, пољски Законик о грађанском поступку не нуди много решења за регулисање потрошачких спорова која би се по било чему разликовала од других грађанскоправних спорова.

Без обзира на напредак европских интеграција, процес дефинисања и разграничења надлежности расподељених између законодавства ЕУ и домаћег законодавства у погледу процесног права изазива повремене контролерзе или сумње. Треба похвалити чињеницу да је Пољска недавно предузела законодавне мере које потрошачима олакшавају да остваре своја права у судским поступцима. Ове мере обухватају увођење узастопних одвојених поступака; (у Пољској су последњих година враћени одвојени поступци у привредним предметима, док су уведени нови поступци у предметима који се односе на интелектуалну својину). Док тренд умножавања одвојених поступака забрињава (јер доприноси усложњавању деклараторног поступка и носи претњу од настајања и нагомилавања системских недоследности), појам развоја свеобухватних процедуралних решења намењених решавању потрошачких спорова и јачању процесне позиције потрошача (као тзв. слабије стране у поступку) чини се вредним пажње.

Допуњене одредбе Законика о парничном поступку (чланови 45814 – 45816) регулишу поступке који се односе на потрошаче. Нови процесни механизми укључују решења која унапређују постојеће механизме и доносе нова процесна

решења. Првопоменута група обухвата решења које се односе на суд месне надлежности. У принципу, потрошач стиче способност да поднесе тужбу и пред судом који је надлежан за његово или њено место пребивалишта. Нова процедурална решења обухватају обавезу привредних субјеката да наведу све тврђење и доказе у тужби против потрошача под претњом одбацања. Иако ово решење олакшава учешће потрошача у судским поступцима, оно додатно компликује и онако замршени систем прикупљања процесних доказа у грађански поступцима заснованом на преклузији. С друге стране, решења која се односе на трошкове судских спорова су за похвалу јер охрабрују привредне субјекте да приступе добровољном решавању потрошачких спорова пре покретања тужбе пред судом. Између привредног субјекта и потрошача обично постоји реална економска диспропорција. Мере које је донео пољски законодавац усмерене су ка остваривању принципа једнакости, а време ће показати њихову ефикасност у судским поступцима.

Кључне речи: потрошач, заштита права потрошача, грађанско право, парнични поступак.